

Arts and Sciences Senate
Tentative Agenda
April 20, 2009

- I. Approval of tentative agenda
 - II. Approval of minutes of March 23, 2009
 - III. Report of the College of Arts and Sciences (J. Staros)
 - IV. Report of the Judiciary Committee (G. Fouron)
 - V. Report on the Humanities Institute (A. Kaplan)
 - VI. President's Report (R. Ben-Zvi)
 - VII. Old Business
 - VIII. New Business
-

Arts and Sciences Senate
Minutes of March 23, 2009

- I. Approval of tentative agenda: approved
- II. Approval of minutes from February 16, 2009: approved.
- III. Report from the College of Arts and Sciences (J. Staros):
 - Budget target for college. Overall cut University-wide was 10%, Provost 8%, CAS about 7%.
 - Provost charge: provide each department with a target.
 - Freeze will lift when departments meet their target.
 - President signed off on a revenue sharing plan for new master's program, enhanced enrollment and existing master's programs.
 - Many departments have put in proposals. The deadline is in a few days and we expect to receive more.

- IV. Report of the FRRPC (H. Silverman)
 - Committee working on three issues:
 1. Guidelines for the title of Senior Lecturer. There is an interest on part of Administration to address non-research active tenured faculty.
 2. Guidelines for cross-departmental secondary academic appointments of regular Full-time Stony Brook faculty.
 3. Responsibilities of tenured faculty.

First two issues have been endorsed by the A&S Executive Committee.

- Dr. Silverman summarized the Guidelines for Cross-departmental Secondary Academic Appointments of Regular Full-time Stony Brook Faculty

Vote on motion to approve the Guidelines for Cross-departmental Secondary Academic Appointments of Regular Full-time Stony Brook Faculty: All in favor, none against, one abstention. Motion passed.

- Dr. Silverman summarized the Guidelines for Title of Senior Lecturer.

- Recognizes long term commitment for lecturers.
- Has the general support of the UUP.

Vote on motion to approve Guidelines for Title of Senior Lecturer: all in favor, none against, three abstentions. Motion passed. Vote to approve FRRPC Report: all in favor.

V. President's Report (R. Ben-Zvi)

- No report.

VI. Old Business: no old business.

VII. New Business: no new business.

Meeting adjourned at 4:22 p.m.

Submitted by: Laurie Theobalt, Secretary

The Academic Integrity Officer and Judiciary Coordinator have taken the following steps to process cases more efficiently through the academic judiciary system:

updated Sept. 07

- Academic Integrity Officer emails the reporting Instructor a confirmation of receipt of accusation report
- Academic Integrity Officer (AIO) contacts all accused students within 3 days of receiving accusation report to schedule face-to-face meeting. Initial contact is made via email to students' email address on file. Students are emailed accusation letter along with request to call AIO to schedule appointment to discuss accusation and Academic Judiciary policies and procedures. Students are informed of accusation, academic judiciary process, and options as it relates to accusation, and Q Course registration and/or appeal process and hearing preparation. Students are given copy of accusation report and supporting documentation
- Academic Integrity Officer will notify reporting Instructor (via email) upon receipt of student Hearing Response Form to accusation if student is/is not requesting a hearing
- Academic Judiciary Coordinator will contact student and instructor at least 1 - 2 weeks of upcoming Hearing date. Students are also informed at this time to schedule appointment with Academic Integrity Officer to review AJC Hearing procedure.
- Academic Judiciary Coordinator will secure Academic Judiciary Committee members (and alternates) at least 2 weeks in advance of scheduled Hearing date
- Academic Judiciary Coordinator will contact student, instructor and AJC members, as reminder, 2 days before scheduled hearing
- Academic Judiciary Coordinator will email student and instructor within 1 week or less of Hearing outcome
- Student found guilty or waived right to hearing must register the following semester for the Q Course
- Academic Integrity Officer will email AJC's recommendation(s) for "best practices" and/or professional development to faculty/staff/department within 1 week or less of Hearing outcome

Academic Judiciary Activity

(Data compiled by Diane West, Academic Judiciary Coordinator, as of 3/19/09)

Table 1.

	FALL 2006	SPRING 2007	FALL 2007	SPRING 2008	SUM 2008	FALL 2008
Reported Accusations	107	136	119	61	16	126 Due to multiples actual 116
Appeals Requested Hearing	22	57	39	16	4	50
Waived right No Hearing Requested	84	78	80	44	11	72
Instructor withdrew accusation	1	1 1 sent to SPD	0	1	0	4
Guilty verdict at Hearing	8	35	32	12	1	28
Not Guilty verdict at Hearing	14	22	7	4	2	13
Hearings Scheduled	22	57	39	16	3 1 student out on medical	41 9 hearings pending

Recommended Penalty of Suspension/Expulsion

Table 2.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	SU 2008	FALL 2008
Suspension Penalty	1	2	1	1	0	3
Expulsion Penalty	1	0	0	0	0	1

Multiple Accusations (student reported for academic dishonesty more than once)

Table 3.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	SUM 2008	FALL 2008
Second Accusation	2	6	5	7	0	13
> 2 Accusations	1	0	1	1	0	2

Appeals to Assistant Provost- Decision of AJC

Table 4.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	SUM 2008	FALL 2008
Student	2	3	1	2	0	3
Instructor	1	0	0	0	0	0

Types of Offenses

Table 5.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	SUM 2008	FALL 2008
Plagiarism - Internet	53	62	63	46	14	31
Plagiarism - (not from internet)	2	52	29	3	1	2
Unauthorized Collusion lab assignments	13	0	0	2	0	51
Copying Exam/Quiz	12	10	10	4	0	8
Falsification or Fabrication Data	12	4	0	1	0	2
Crib sheets/notes on person	2	2	3	0	0	3
Electronic Device Cell/calculator	1	0	2	2	0	4
Forgery; signatures, official document	1	1	0	0	1	2
Ringer (one student posing as another)	0	0	1	0	0	0
Submission of same paper	1	1	2	0	0	0
Changing answer on test/paper for regrade	1	0	2	2	0	1
Falsifying attendance (signing in for another)	5	2	7	0	0	0
Left exam without consent	1	0	0	1	0	2
Did not return exam to instructor	2	0	0	0	0	0
Tampering with records/transcript	1	0	0	0	0	0
Buying/selling/stealing exams	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fraud/misrepresentation	0	2	0	0	0	0

Reporting Departments

Table 6.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	Summer 2008	Fall 2008
AAS	0	0	2	0	0	0
ACH	1	0	0	0	0	0
AFS	0	0	1	0	0	0
ANP	0	3	1	0	0	0
ANT	3	0	0	1	0	0
ARH	1	0	2	0	0	0
AST	12	2	0	1	0	0
ATM	0	0	0	0	0	0
BCP	0	0	0	1	0	1
BIO	13	66	32	4	1	67
BUS	3	2	20	0	0	7
CHE	0	1	0	2	0	2
CCS	0	0	0	1	0	2
CLT	0	0	0	2	0	0
ECO	1	5	2	1	0	1
EGL	14	2	7	6	0	2
ESL	0	1	2	0	0	1
HIS	7	17	4	5	0	5
HON	0	1	0	0	0	0
HUI	0	4	0	2	0	0
HUM	0	4	0	0	0	0
HUR	0	2	0	0	0	0
ITL	0	0	0	0	0	1
JRN	0	2	3		0	1
LCR	0	0	0	2	0	0
LIN	1	2	0	3	0	1
LRN	1	0	0	0	0	0
MAE	0	0	0	1	0	0
MAR	0	0	5	0	0	1
MAT	0	3	3	1	0	7
MUS	7	0	0	0	0	3
PHI	2	3	5	7	1	6
PHY	2	3	2	0	0	4
POL	0	0	2	6	0	0
PSY	20	3	11	2	12	5
Registrar	1	0	0	0	0	0
SOC	1	0	2	3	1	1
SPN	0	1	3	3	0	1
SSO	0	0	0	1	0	0
THR	6	2	0	0	0	0
WRT	2	7	4	4	0	6
WST	9	0	6	1	1	0

Q Course Enrollment

Table 7.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007 (Fa 07 course)	Fall 2007 (SP 08 course)	Spring 2008 (Fa 08 course)	Fall 2008
Total Enrollment	71	98	100	74	77
Guilty prev term & currently enrolled in Q Course	N/A	69	76	46	64
Other *	N/A	23* • Grad# • Dental# • HSC# • CEAS#	10* • Grad# - 1 • Dental# - 0 • HSC# - 2 • CEAS# - 7	11* • Grad# - 0 • Dental# - 0 • HSC# - 3 • CEAS# - 8	7* • HSC# - 3 • CEAS# - 4

*Enrollment includes students with majors CEAS, HSC, NURSING, SPD, GRAD SCHOOL, DENTAL SCHOOL.

Student- Academic Standing at time of accusation

Table 8.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	Summer 2008	Fall 2008
Freshman	22	19	8	7	0	10
Sophomore	3	34	23	12	0	40
Junior	3	11	19	9	3	16
Senior	9	9	39	7	1	36
1st Sem Trans	0	0	15	0	0	12
1st Sem Fresh	0	0	14	0	0	7 OF 10
2nd Bachelor	0	4	1	1	0	1
Transfers	68	55	48 counted w/ class	24	11	47
INT'L	0	0	2 counted w/ freshman	0	0	0
Non Matric	0	4	0	1	1	1
DBL Major	0	0	9 counted w/ category	0	0	2
CEAS Majors	0	0	3	0	0	2
HSC Majors	0	0	4	0	0	5

Student- CUM GPA at time of accusation

Table 9. *CUM GPA not available from several students; first semester freshman/transfer student

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	Summer 2008	Fall 2008
Cum GPA < 2.0	25	4	6	8	4	9
CUM GPA 2.0 – 2.4	24	9	22	8	3	23
CUM GPA 2.5 – 2.9	25	7	33	21	3	27
CUM GPA 3.0 – 3.4	18	5	24	19	0	28
CUM GPA 3.5 – 4.0	9	9	5	3	3	10

Student Grievance Activity

Table 10.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	Summer 2008	Fall 2008
Submitted	0	8	0	0	0	
Presented to AJC	0	2	0	0	0	
Hearing Scheduled	0	2	0	0	0	
No Hearings/AJC Review	0	4	0	0	0	

Grievance against Departments

Table 11.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall 2007	Spring 2008	Summer 2008	Fall 2008
Athletic	0	2	0	1	0	
BIO	0	1	0	0	0	
HIS	0	1	0	0	0	
PHI	0	2	0	0	0	
SOC	0	2	0	0	0	

OUTREACH ACTIVITY- Academic Integrity Presentations

Table 12.

	Fall 2006	Spring 2007	Fall* 2007	Spring* 2008	Fall 2008
Academic Integrity Presentations	AIO = 31	AIO = 13	AIO = 30 AC = 10 40	AIO = 12 AC = 8 20	AIO = 24 AC = 6 30

*Academic Judiciary Coordinator began presenting FA '07.

AI= Academic Integrity Officer, AC= Academic Judiciary Coordinator

Presentations included; 101 Freshman, 101 Transfer Students, TA Orientation, TA Practicum Class, Athletics Dept, EOP, Honors College, Learning Communities, International Exchange Program, Engineering - Graduate School

